Everything you need to know about cryptography in 1 hour

Colin Percival
Tarsnap
cperciva@tarsnap.com

May 13, 2010

Why cryptography in 1 hour?

- Lots of people get cryptography wrong:
  - Google Keyczar (timing side channel). ← Stupidity
  - SSL (session renegotiation). ← Stupidity
  - Amazon AWS signature method 1 ← Using a tool wrong (non-collision-free signing).
  - Flickr API signatures ← Using the wrong tool wrong (hash length-extension).
  - Intel HyperThreading ← Unusual environment (architectural side channel).
  - WEP, WPA, GSM... (various flaws). ← Unusual environment
- Cryptography is usually broken for one of three reasons:
  - Stupidity.
  - Using the wrong tools or using them in the wrong way.
  - Unusual environments.

Why cryptography in 1 hour?

- Conventional wisdom: Don't write cryptographic code!
    - Use SSL for transport.
    - Use GPG for protecting data at rest.
    - "If you're typing the letters A-E-S into your code, you're doing it wrong." — Thomas Ptacek
- Reality: You're going to write cryptographic code no matter what I say, so you might as well know what you're doing.
- Reality: Most applications only need a small set of well-understood standard idioms which are easy to get right.
- 55 minutes from now, you should:
    - Know what to do in 99% of the situations you'll encounter.
    - Know where some of the common mistakes are.
    - Know when you're doing something non-standard and you really need to consult a cryptographer.

Why cryptography?

- Cryptography protects against *some* attacks, but not all.
    - "Three Bs": Bribery, Burglary, Blackmail.
    - Fourth B: (Guantanamo) Bay.
- Attacking people is often more expensive than attacking data.
- Attacking people is almost always more dangerous than attacking data.
    - Data doesn't hold press conferences to complain that it was tortured!
        - (The information, not the android.)
- The purpose of cryptography is to force the US government to torture you.
    - Hopefully they'll decide that your information isn't that important.

- Cryptography has three major purposes: Encryption, Authentication, and Identification.
    - Encryption prevents evil people from reading your data.
    - Authentication (aka. Signing) prevents evil people from modifying your data without being discovered.
    - Identification prevents evil people from pretending to be you.
- Sometimes Authentication and Identification are performed in a single step: "this message hasn't been modified since I wrote it" and "I'm Colin" are replaced by a single "this message hasn't been modified since Colin wrote it".
- In most cases you will want to put together two or more cryptographic components.

Cryptographic language

- The *plaintext* is the data we care about.
- The *ciphertext* is the data evil people get to see.
- A *key* is used to convert between these. Sometimes we need several keys.
- *Symmetric* cryptography is when converting plaintext to ciphertext uses the same key as converting ciphertext to plaintext.
- *Asymmetric* cryptography is when the two directions use different keys.
- *Ideal* cryptographic components don't really exist, but if a cryptographic component is recognizably non-ideal, it is generally considered to be broken.

Hashing

- An *ideal hash function* $H(x)$ is a function mapping arbitrary-length inputs to n-bit outputs which is:
  - Collision-resistant, and
  - One-way.
- *Collision-resistant* means that it takes $\approx 2^{n/2}$ time to find two inputs which have the same hash.
- *One-way* means that given a hash, it takes $\approx 2^n$ time to find an input which has that hash.
- Nothing else is guaranteed!
  - In particular, knowing $H(x)$ might allow an attacker to compute $H(y)$ for some values of y.

Hashing

- DO: Use SHA-256.
- DO: Consider switching to SHA-3 within the next 5-10 years (once NIST decides what it is, probably in 2012).
- DO: Use a hash when you can securely distribute $H(x)$ and want to validate that a value x' which you received insecurely is in fact equal to x.
- DON'T: Use MD2, MD4, MD5, SHA-1, RIPEMD.
- DON'T: Put FreeBSD-8.0-RELEASE-amd64-disc1.iso and CHECKSUM.SHA256 onto the same FTP server and think that you've done something useful.
- DON'T: Try to use a hash function as a symmetric signature.

Symmetric authentication

- Symmetric authentication is performed by providing a *message authentication code* (MAC).
- An *ideal message authentication code* $f_k(x)$ uses a key to map arbitrary-length inputs to n-bit outputs such that it takes $\approx 2^n$ time for an attacker to generate any pair $(y, f_k(y))$ even if given arbitrary pairs $(x, f_k(x))$.
  - Sometimes called a "random function".
- Unlike hashing, knowing $f_k(x)$ does not allow you to compute $f_k(y)$ for some other y.
  - The Flickr API used hashing to authenticate API requests where they should have used a MAC.

Symmetric authentication

- DO: Use HMAC-SHA256.
- DO: Guarantee that you cannot have two different messages result in the same data being input to HMAC-SHA256.
    - Amazon and Flickr both got this wrong.
- AVOID: CBC-MAC.
    - Theoretically secure, but exposes your block cipher to attacks.
- AVOID: Poly1305.
    - If your name is Daniel Bernstein, go ahead and use this. Otherwise, you're never going to produce a secure and correct implementation.
- DON'T: Leak information via timing side channels when you verify a signature.

Side channel attacks

- A *side channel* is any way that an attacker can get information other than the ciphertext.
  - Cryptosystems are defined by their mathematical design, whereas side channels are inherently artifacts of how cryptosystems are implemented.
- The most common side channel is timing – how long it takes for you to encrypt/decrypt/sign/verify a message.
- Other side channels include electromagnetic emissions ("TEMPEST"), power consumption, and microarchitectural features (e.g., L1 data cache eviction on Intel CPUs with HyperThreading).

Side channel attacks

- DO: Consult a cryptographer if you're planning on giving evil people physical access to anything which does cryptography (e.g., smartcards).
- DO: Consult a cryptographer if you're planning on allowing evil people to run code on the same physical hardware as you use for cryptography (e.g., virtualized systems).
- DO: Consult a cryptographer if you're planning on releasing a CPU which leaks information in new and exciting ways.
  - Intel probably got this wrong.
- DON'T: Write code which leaks information via how long it takes to run.

Timing attacks

- AVOID: Key-dependent or plaintext-dependent table lookups.
- DON'T: Have key-dependent or plaintext-dependent branches (if, for, while, foo ? bar : baz).
- DON'T EVEN DREAM ABOUT: Writing the following code:
  ```
  for (i = 0; i < MACLEN; i++)
    if (MAC_computed[i] != MAC_received[i])
      return (MAC_IS_BAD);
  return (MAC_IS_GOOD);
  ```
- DO: Write the following code:
  ```
  for (x = i = 0; i < MACLEN; i++)
    x |= MAC_computed[i] − MAC_received[i];
  return (x ? MAC_IS_BAD : MAC_IS_GOOD);
  ```
  - Google Keyczar got this wrong.

Block ciphers

- Symmetric encryption is usually built out of *block ciphers*.
- An *ideal block cipher* uses a key to bijectively map n-bit inputs x to n-bit outputs $E_k(x)$ such that knowing pairs $(x, E_k(x))$ doesn't allow you to guess $(x', E_{k'}(x'))$ for any $(x', k') \neq (x, k)$ with probability non-negligibly higher than 2^{-n}.
    - Sometimes called a "random permutation".
- Usually all we care about is that $E_k(x)$ doesn't reveal information about $E_k(x')$ for $x' \neq x$.
    - If an attacker can get useful information about a block cipher by looking at how it handles different (but related) keys, the block cipher is said to be vulnerable to a *related-key attack*.

Block ciphers

- DO: Use AES-256.
    - AES-256 is vulnerable to a related-key attack, but this will never matter as long as you get other things right.
    - AES-128 is theoretically strong enough, but block ciphers are hard to implement without side channels, and the extra key bits will help if some key bits get exposed.
- DON'T: Use blowfish.
- DON'T EVEN DREAM ABOUT: Using DES.
- AVOID: Triple-DES.
- DON'T: Use a block cipher "raw"; instead, use it in an established *mode of operation*.

Block cipher modes of operation

- A *block cipher mode of operation* tells you how to use a block cipher to protect stream(s) of data.
- In many cases, the plaintext needs to be padded to a multiple of the block size; the block cipher mode of operation will tell you how to do this.
- Modes of operation usually have funky initialisms: ECB, CBC, CFB, OFB, CTR, IAPM, CCM, EAX, GCM...
    - Please don't ask me how to expand all of these.
- Most modes of operation provide only encryption; some provide authentication as well.

Block cipher modes of operation

- DO: Use CTR mode.
- DON'T: Use modes which provide both encryption and authentication.
- DON'T EVEN DREAM ABOUT: Using ECB mode.
- DO: Use a MAC (i.e., HMAC-SHA256) to authenticate your encrypted data.
  - If you think you don't need this, consult a cryptographer. He'll tell you that you're wrong.
- DO: Verify the authenticity of your encrypted data *before* you decrypt it.

Asymmetric authentication

- An asymmetric authentication scheme uses a *signing key* to transform plaintext into ciphertext and a *verification key* to transform ciphertext into either the plaintext or "invalid signature".
    - The signing key cannot be computed from the verification key, but the verification key can usually be computed from the signing key.
    - The ciphertext usually consists of the plaintext plus a signature.

- An asymmetric authentication scheme is considered to be broken if an attacker with access to the verification key can generate *any* valid ciphertext, *even if he can convince you to sign arbitrary other plaintexts*.

Asymmetric authentication

- DO: Use RSASSA-PSS (RSA signing with Probabilistic Signature Scheme padding).
- DO: Use a 2048-bit RSA key, a public exponent of 65537, and SHA256.
- DON'T: Use PKCS v1.5 padding.
- DON'T EVEN DREAM ABOUT: Using RSA without message padding.
- PROBABLY AVOID: DSA.
- PROBABLY AVOID: Elliptic Curve signature schemes.
- DON'T EVEN DREAM ABOUT: Using the same RSA key for both authentication and encryption.

- Asymmetric encryption is like asymmetric signing, except the opposite way around: Plaintext is converted to ciphertext using a public key, but converting ciphertext to plaintext requires the private key.

- An asymmetric encryption scheme is considered to be broken if an attacker can decrypt a given ciphertext, *even if he can convince you to decrypt arbitrary other ciphertexts*.

- Most asymmetric encryption schemes have a fairly low limit on the size of the message which can be encrypted.

Asymmetric encryption

- DO: Use RSAES-OAEP (RSA encryption with Optimal Asymmetric Encryption Padding).
- DO: Use a 2048-bit RSA key, a public exponent of 65537, SHA256, and MGF1-SHA256.
- DON'T: Use PKCS v1.5 padding.
- DON'T: Use RSA without message padding.
- DO: Generate a random key and apply symmetric encryption to your message, then apply asymmetric encryption to your symmetric encryption key.
- DO: Be especially careful to avoid timing side channels in RSAES-OAEP.

Passwords

- Passwords / passphrases are often used directly for Identification, but can also be used for Encryption or Authentication.
- DO: Avoid using passwords whenever possible.
- DO: Use a key derivation function to convert passwords into keys as soon as possible.
  - DO: Use PBKDF2 if you want to be buzzword-compliant.
  - DO: Use scrypt if you want to be $\approx 2^8$ times more secure against serious attackers.
- DON'T EVEN DREAM ABOUT: Storing your users' passwords on your server.
  - No, not even if they're encrypted.

- SSL is a horrible system.
  - SSL is far too complex to be implemented securely.
  - SSL gives attackers far too many options for where to attack.
  - SSL requires that you decide which certificate authorities you want to trust.
    - Do you trust the Chinese government?
- Unfortunately, SSL is often the only option available.
- DO: Distribute an asymmetric signature verification key (or a hash thereof) with the client side of client-server software, and use that to bootstrap your cryptography.
- DO: Use SSL to secure your website, email, and other public standard Internet-facing servers.
- DO: Think very carefully about which certificate authorities you want to trust.

Weird stuff

- DO: Consult a cryptographer if...
  - Your cryptography is going to be on hardware which attackers have physical access to (e.g., smartcards).
  - You need to use the minimum possible amount of power (e.g., on mobile phones).
  - You need to process the maximum possible data rate (e.g., 10 Gbps IPSec tunnels).
  - You need to transmit the minimum possible number of bits (e.g., communicating with a nuclear submarine).
  - You want to ignore any of the advice I've given in this talk.

Questions?